

## Estimation of the Bounds of Univalent Functional of Coefficients Apply the Subordination Method

Eman Khleifa Shmella<sup>1</sup> and Aisha Ahmed Amer<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Mathematics Dept-Faculty of Science, Alasmarya Islamic University, Libya

<sup>2</sup>Mathematics Dept-Faculty of Sciences, El Mergib University, Libya

<sup>1</sup>aymankhlyfh272@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>aisha.amer@elmergib.edu.ly

Submitted: 16- Feb - 2024

Accepted: 07- Mar - 2024

Published: 16-Mar - 2024

### ABSTRACT:

In this paper ,we Introduce a class of analytic and bi-univalent functions in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U} = \{z: |z| < 1; z \in \mathbb{C}\}$  by using a generalized derivative operator (Amer & Darus, 2011) and apply the subordination method to the functional of coefficients problem .

Furthermore, an estimation for the initial Taylor and Maclaurin coefficients of functions in  $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^q(\lambda, \alpha)$  was given.

**Keywords:** Analytic functions; Univalent function ; Unit disk..

### INTRODUCTION:

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of functions  $f$  in the open unit disk

$$\mathbb{U} = \{z: |z| < 1; z \in \mathbb{C}\},$$

given by the normalized power series

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad ; \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \quad ,$$

where  $a_k$  is a complex number .

The class of univalent functions in  $\mathcal{A}$  normalized with the conditions

$$f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0 \text{ was represented by } \mathcal{S} .$$

Since each function which belongs to the class has an inverse, we can easily calculate

$$(f^{-1}(w))' = \frac{1}{f'(z)} .$$

Therefore, we conclude the  $f^{-1}$  is analytic So,  $f^{-1}$  can also be displayed in the form of a power series as follows:

$$f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - \dots \quad ,$$

where  $f^{-1}(f(z)) = z \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$ ,

$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w \left( w \in \mathbb{U}, |w| < r_0(f); r_0(f) > \frac{1}{4} \right).$$

A function is called biunivalent in open unit disk  $\mathbb{U}$ , if  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are univalent in open unit disk  $\mathbb{U}$ .

$\Sigma$  is considered a symbol of the class of biunivalent functions in  $\mathbb{U}$ . (Srivastava et al., 2010)

The class of functions which are analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  and satisfying the following conditions  $Re p(z) > 0$  and

$$p(z) = 1 + p_1(z) + p_2^2(z) + \dots, \quad z \in \mathbb{U},$$

denoted by  $\mathcal{P}$ .

If the function  $f$  and  $g$  are analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$ , then we say  $f$  is subordinate to  $g$  or  $g$  is said to be superordinate to  $f$  in  $\mathbb{U}$ , written as  $f < g$  or  $f(z) < g(z)$  if there exists a Schwarz function  $v(z)$  analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$ , with  $|v(z)| < 1$ , So that

$$f(z) = g(v(z)) \text{ and } z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

In particular, If the function  $g$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$  then the subordination  $f < g$  is equivalent to  $f(0) = g(0)$  and  $f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})$ . (Miller & Mocanu, 2000)

The Hadamard product (or convolution) of two analytic functions  $f$  and  $g$ , where  $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$  and  $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ ; ( $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ),

denoted by  $f * g$  is defined by  $(f * g)(z) = f(z) * g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k$  ( $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ).

And by using the Hadamard product, (Amer & Darus, 2011) have recently introduced a new generalized derivative operator.

**Definition 1:**

For  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  the operator  $I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ell, n)$  is defined by  $I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ell, n): \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  where

$$I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ell, n)f(z) = \varphi^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ell)(z) * R^n f(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad \rightarrow (*)$$

where  $m \in N_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $\lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1 \geq 0$ ,  $\ell \geq 0$ , and  $R^n f(z)$  denotes the Rusehweyeh derivative operator and given by

$$R^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c(n, k) a_k b_k z^k; \quad (n \in N_0, z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where 
$$c(n, k) = \frac{(n+1)_{k-1}}{(1)_{k-1}}.$$

If  $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ , then we easily find from the equality (\*) that

$$I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ell, n)f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\lambda_1(k-1)+\ell)^{m-1}}{(1+\ell)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_2(k-1))^m} c(n, k) a_k z^k,$$

where  $n, m \in N_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $\lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1 \geq 0, \ell \geq 0$ .

Special cases of this operator includes :

- The Ruscheweyh derivative operator (Ruscheweyh, 1975) in the cases :

$$\begin{aligned} I^1(\lambda_1, 0, l, n) &\equiv I^1(\lambda_1, 0, 0, n) \equiv I^1(0, 0, l, n) \equiv I^0(0, \lambda_2, 0, n) \equiv I^0(0, 0, 0, n) \equiv I^{m+1}(0, 0, l, n) \\ &\equiv I^{m+1}(0, 0, 0, n) \equiv \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

- The Salagean derivative operator (Salagean, 2006) :

$$I^{m+1}(1, 0, 0, 0) \equiv S^n.$$

- The generalized Ruscheweyh derivative operator (Shaqsi & Darus, 2008) :

$$I^2(\lambda_1, 0, 0, n) \equiv R_\lambda^n.$$

- The generalized Salagean derivative operator introduced by Al-Oboudi (Al-Oboudi, 2004)

$$I^{m+1}(\lambda_1, 0, 0, 0) \equiv S_\beta^n.$$

- The generalized Al-Shaqsi and Darus derivative operator (Shaqsi & Darus, 2008)

$$I^{m+1}(\lambda_1, 0, 0, n) \equiv D_{\lambda, \beta}^n.$$

- The Al-Abadi and Darus generalized derivative operator (Al-Abadi & Darus, 2009)

$$I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, 0, n) \equiv \mu_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{n, m}.$$

- And finally the catas derivative operator (Catas & Borsa, 2009)

$$I^m(\lambda_1, 0, l, n) \equiv I^m(\lambda_1, \beta, l).$$

Using simple computation one obtains the next result

$$\begin{aligned} (\ell + 1)I^{m+1}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ell, n)f(z) &= (1 + \ell - \lambda_1)[I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ell, n) * \varphi^1(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ell)(z)]f(z) + \\ &\lambda_1 z [I^m(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ell, n) * \varphi^1(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ell)(z)]', \end{aligned}$$

where  $(z \in \mathbb{U})$  and  $\varphi^1(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ell)(z)$  analytic function .

**Definition 2**

A function  $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k; z \in \mathbb{U}$  is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^q(\lambda, \beta)$  if

$$f \in \Sigma, \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 - \lambda) \frac{f(z)}{z} + \lambda I^m f(z) \right\} > \beta,$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 - \lambda) \frac{g(w)}{z} + \lambda I^m g(w) \right\} > \beta,$$

where

$w = f(z), g = f^{-1}; (q \in (0,1) 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, 0 \leq \beta < 1)$ , and  $z, w \in \mathbb{U}$  (Rahmatan et al., 2022)

**Lemma 1**

If  $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^q(\lambda, \beta)$ , then  $|p_k| \leq 2$  for each  $k$ . (Goodman, 1983)

**Definition 3 .**

A function  $f(z)$  of the form (1.1) is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^q(\lambda, \alpha)$  if

$$f \in \Sigma, \left| \operatorname{arg} \left\{ (1 - \lambda) \frac{f(z)}{z} + \lambda I^m f(z) \right\} \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$$

$$\left| \operatorname{arg} \left\{ (1 - \lambda) \frac{g(w)}{z} + \lambda I^m g(w) \right\} \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2},$$

where  $w = f(z), g = f^{-1}(q \in (0,1) 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1)$  and  $z, w \in \mathbb{U}$ . (Rahmatan et al., 2022)

**Lemma 2**

If  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , then  $2p_2 = p_1^2 + (4 - p_1^2)x$  for some  $x$  with  $|x| < 1$ .

In the meantime, we obtain the bounds of certain functional of coefficients for

$$f \in \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^q(\lambda, \beta). \text{ (Goodman, 1983)}$$

**Literature review**

**Theorem 1.**

If  $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^q(\lambda, \beta)$ . and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$|a_3 - \gamma a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2\delta}{\eta + k}, & |h(\gamma)| < \frac{1}{\eta + k} \\ 2\delta h(\gamma) & |h(\gamma)| > \frac{1}{\eta + k} \end{cases},$$

where

$$|h(\gamma)| = \frac{(1-\gamma)}{\eta+k} \quad ; \quad \mathcal{K} = \frac{\lambda(1+2\lambda_1+\ell)^{m-1} \cdot (n+1)_2}{(1+\ell)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_2)^m \cdot (1)_2}, \quad \eta = 1 - \lambda \text{ and } \delta = 1 - \beta .$$

**Proof :**

from definition for the class  $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma^q(\lambda, \beta)$

$$\eta \frac{f(z)}{z} + \lambda I^m f(z) = \beta + \delta P(z) \quad , \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \quad , \quad \rightarrow (1)$$

$$\eta \frac{g(w)}{w} + \lambda I^m g(z) = \beta + \delta q(z) \quad ; \quad z \in \mathbb{U} \quad \text{and} \quad g = f^{-1} \quad \rightarrow (2)$$

respectively, where

$$p(z) = 1 + p_1(z) + p_2 z^2 + \dots,$$

$$q(w) = 1 + q_1(w) + q_2 w^2 + \dots, \quad \text{for } z, w \in \mathbb{U}.$$

Now, equating the coefficients in (1) and (2), we see that

$$\eta p(z) + \lambda \left( z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \lambda_1(k-1) + \ell)^{m-1}}{(1 + \ell)^{m-1}(1 + \lambda_2(k+1))^m} \cdot c(n, k) a_k z^k \right) = \beta + \delta p .$$

Where k=2

$$\Rightarrow a_2 \left( \eta + \frac{\lambda(1 + \lambda_1 + \ell)^{m-1}}{(1 + \ell)^{m-1}(1 + \lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n+1)_1}{(1)_1} \right) = \delta p_1 . \quad \rightarrow (3)$$

From (1) where k=3

$$\eta a_3 + \lambda \left( \frac{(1 + 2\lambda_1 + \ell)^{m-1}}{(1 + \ell)^{m-1}(1 - 2\lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n+1)_2}{(1)_2} \right) a_3 = \delta p_2$$

$$\Rightarrow a_3 \left( \eta + \frac{\lambda(1+2\lambda_1+\ell)^{m-1}}{(1+\ell)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n+1)_2}{(1)_2} \right) a_3 = \delta p_2 . \quad \rightarrow (4)$$

From (2) where  $k=2$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\eta a_2 + a_2 \lambda \left( \frac{(1+\lambda_1+\ell)^{m-1}}{(1+\ell)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n+1)_1}{(1)_1} \right) = \delta q_1 \\
 \Rightarrow & -a_2 \left( \eta + \lambda \frac{(1+\lambda_1+\ell)^{m-1}}{(1+\ell)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n+1)_1}{(1)_1} \right) = \delta q_1. \quad \rightarrow (5)
 \end{aligned}$$

From (2) where  $k=3$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \eta(2a_2^2 - a_3) + \lambda \frac{(1 + 2\lambda_1 + \ell)^{m-1}}{(1 + \ell)^{m-1}(1 + 2\lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n + 1)_2}{(1)_2} (2a_2^2 - a_3) = \delta q_2 \\
 \Rightarrow & (2a_2^2 - a_3) \left( \eta + \frac{\lambda(1 + 2\lambda_1 + \ell)^{m-1}}{(1 + \ell)^{m-1}(1 + 2\lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n + 1)_2}{(1)_2} \right) = \delta q_2. \quad \rightarrow (6)
 \end{aligned}$$

From (3) and (5), we conclude that

$$p_1 = -q_1, \quad \rightarrow (7)$$

from (3)  $a_2^2 \left( \eta + \frac{\lambda(1+\lambda_1+\ell)^{m-1}}{(1+\ell)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n+1)_1}{(1)_1} \right)^2 = \delta^2 p_1^2, \quad \rightarrow (8)$

and by (5)  $a_2^2 \left( \eta + \lambda \frac{(1+\lambda_1+\ell)^{m-1}}{(1+\ell)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n+1)_1}{(1)_1} \right)^2 = \delta^2 q_1^2. \quad \rightarrow (9)$

Now from (8) and (9) we obtain

$$2a_2^2 \left( \eta + \frac{\lambda(1 + \lambda_1 + \ell)^{m-1}}{(1 + \ell)^{m-1}(1 + \lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n + 1)_1}{(1)_1} \right)^2 = \delta^2 (p_1^2 + q_1^2). \quad \rightarrow (10)$$

Also, using (4) and (6), we obtain

$$2a_2^2 \left( \eta + \frac{\lambda(1+2\lambda_1+\ell)^{m-1}}{(1+\ell)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n+1)_2}{(1)_2} \right) = \delta(p_2 + q_2). \quad \rightarrow (11)$$

From (6)

$$2a_2^2 \left( \eta + \frac{\lambda(1 + 2\lambda_1 + \ell)^{m-1}}{(1 + \ell)^{m-1}(1 + 2\lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n + 1)_2}{(1)_2} \right) - a_3 \left( \eta + \frac{\lambda(1 + 2\lambda_1 + \ell)^{m-1}}{(1 + \ell)^{m-1}(1 + 2\lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n + 1)_2}{(1)_2} \right) = \delta q_2,$$

and from (4) we obtain that

$$2a_3 \left( \eta + \frac{\lambda(1+2\lambda_1+\ell)^{m-1}}{(1+\ell)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n+1)_2}{(1)_2} \right) - 2a_2^2 \left( \eta + \frac{\lambda(1+2\lambda_1+\ell)^{m-1}}{(1+\ell)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n+1)_2}{(1)_2} \right) = \delta(p_2 - q_2), \quad \rightarrow (12)$$

by using (4) with (6)

$$2a_2^2 \left( \eta + \frac{\lambda(1+2\lambda_1+\ell)^{m-1}}{(1+\ell)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n+1)_2}{(1)_2} \right) - \frac{\delta p_2}{\left( \eta + \frac{\lambda(1+2\lambda_1+\ell)^{m-1}}{(1+\ell)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n+1)_2}{(1)_2} \right)} \cdot \left( \eta + \frac{\lambda(1+2\lambda_1+\ell)^{m-1}}{(1+\ell)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n+1)_2}{(1)_2} \right) = \delta q_2,$$

$$\Rightarrow 2a_2^2 \left( \eta + \frac{\lambda(1+2\lambda_1+\ell)^{m-1}}{(1+\ell)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n+1)_2}{(1)_2} \right) = \delta(p_2 + q_2). \rightarrow (13)$$

Let  $\mathcal{K} = \frac{\lambda(1+2\lambda_1+\ell)^{m-1}}{(1+\ell)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n+1)_2}{(1)_2}$

$$2a_3(\eta + \mathcal{K}) = \delta(p_2 - q_2) + 2a_2^2(\eta + \mathcal{K})$$

$$\Rightarrow a_3 = \frac{\delta(p_2 - q_2)}{2(\eta + \mathcal{K})} + a_2$$

$$a_3 - \gamma a_2^2 = \frac{\delta(p_2 - q_2)}{2(\eta + \mathcal{K})} + a_2 - \gamma a_2^2$$

$$\Rightarrow |a_3 - \gamma a_2^2| = |a_2^2(1 - \gamma) + \frac{\delta(p_2 - q_2)}{2(\eta + \mathcal{K})}| = \left| \frac{\delta(p_2 - q_2)}{2(\eta + \mathcal{K})} + \frac{2}{\delta} a_2^2(1 - \gamma) \right|,$$

from (13)  $|a_3 - \gamma a_2^2| = \left| \frac{\delta(p_2 - q_2)}{2(\eta + \mathcal{K})} + \frac{2}{\delta} \left( \frac{p_2 + q_2}{2} \right) \left( \frac{p_2 + q_2}{\eta + \mathcal{K}} \right) (1 - \gamma) \right|$

$$|a_3 - \gamma a_2^2| = \left| \frac{\delta(p_2 - q_2)}{2(\eta + \mathcal{K})} + \left( \frac{p_2 + q_2}{\eta + \mathcal{K}} \right) (1 - \gamma) \right|$$

$$|a_3 - \gamma a_2^2| = \left| \frac{\delta(p_2 - q_2)}{2(\eta + \mathcal{K})} + (p_2 + q_2) h(\gamma) \right|$$

$$-\left( \frac{\delta(p_2 - q_2)}{2(\eta + \mathcal{K})} + (p_2 + q_2) h(\gamma) \right) \leq |a_3 - \gamma a_2^2| \leq \left( \frac{\delta(p_2 - q_2)}{2(\eta + \mathcal{K})} + (p_2 + q_2) h(\gamma) \right).$$

**Case 1**

$$|a_3 - \gamma a_2^2| \leq \left( \frac{\delta(p_2 - q_2)}{2(\eta + \mathcal{K})} + (p_2 + q_2) h(\gamma) \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2}{\delta} \left( \frac{|a_3 - \gamma a_2^2|}{(p_2 + q_2)} \right) - \frac{(p_2 - q_2)}{\eta + \mathcal{K}} \cdot \frac{1}{(p_2 + q_2)} \leq h(\gamma),$$

where  $|h(\gamma)| < \frac{1}{\eta + \mathcal{K}}$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2}{\delta} \left( \frac{|a_3 - \gamma a_2^2|}{(p_2 + q_2)} \right) - \frac{(p_2 - q_2)}{\eta + \mathcal{K}} \cdot \frac{1}{(p_2 + q_2)} \leq \frac{1}{\eta + \mathcal{K}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2}{\delta} (\eta + \mathcal{K}) |a_3 - \gamma a_2^2| - (p_2 - q_2) \leq (p_2 + q_2),$$

by using ( Lemma 1)

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2}{\delta} (\eta + \mathcal{K}) |a_3 - \gamma a_2^2| - (p_2 - q_2) \leq 4$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2}{\delta} (\eta + \mathcal{K}) |a_3 - \gamma a_2^2| - 4 \leq (p_2 - q_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2}{\delta} (\eta + \mathcal{K}) |a_3 - \gamma a_2^2| - 4 \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow |a_3 - \gamma a_2^2| \leq \frac{4\delta}{2(\eta + \mathcal{K})} \leq \frac{2\delta}{(\eta + \mathcal{K})}$$

$$\therefore |a_3 - \gamma a_2^2| \leq \frac{2\delta}{(\eta + \mathcal{K})} \quad ; \quad |h(\gamma)| < \frac{1}{\eta + \mathcal{K}}.$$

**Case 2**

$$- \left( \frac{\delta}{2} \left( \frac{p_2 - q_2}{\eta + \mathcal{K}} + (p_2 + q_2) h(\gamma) \right) \right) \leq |a_3 - \gamma a_2^2|$$

$$|a_3 - \gamma a_2^2| \geq - \left( \frac{\delta}{2} \left( \frac{p_2 - q_2}{\eta + \mathcal{K}} + (p_2 + q_2) h(\gamma) \right) \right)$$

$$\frac{2}{\delta} |a_3 - \gamma a_2^2| (\eta + \mathcal{K}) \geq -(p_2 - q_2) - (p_2 + q_2) h(\gamma) (\eta + \mathcal{K})$$

$$\therefore |h(\gamma)| = \frac{(1 - \gamma)}{\eta + \mathcal{K}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2}{\delta} |a_3 - \gamma a_2^2| (\eta + \mathcal{K}) \geq (q_2 - p_2) - (p_2 + q_2) \frac{(1 - \gamma)}{\eta + \mathcal{K}} (\eta + \mathcal{K})$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2}{\delta} |a_3 - \gamma a_2^2| (\eta + \mathcal{K}) \geq (q_2 - p_2) - (p_2 + q_2) (1 - \gamma)$$



$$\Rightarrow \frac{2}{\delta} |a_3 - \gamma a_2^2|(\eta + \mathcal{K}) \geq q_2 - p_2 - p_2 + p_2\gamma - q_2 + q_2\gamma$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2}{\delta} |a_3 - \gamma a_2^2|(\eta + \mathcal{K}) \geq -2p_2 + p_2\gamma + q_2\gamma$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2}{\delta} |a_3 - \gamma a_2^2|(\eta + \mathcal{K}) \geq -2p_2 + \gamma(p_2 + q_2) ,$$

by using( Lemma 1) we obtain

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2}{\delta} |a_3 - \gamma a_2^2|(\eta + \mathcal{K}) \geq -4 + \gamma(4)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2}{\delta} |a_3 - \gamma a_2^2|(\eta + \mathcal{K}) \geq -4(1- \gamma)$$

$$\Rightarrow |a_3 - \gamma a_2^2| \geq \frac{-2\delta(1-\gamma)}{(\eta+\mathcal{K})}$$

$$\Rightarrow |a_3 - \gamma a_2^2| \geq -2\delta h(\gamma)$$

$$\Rightarrow |a_3 - \gamma a_2^2| \leq 2\delta h(\gamma) \quad ; \quad |h(\gamma)| > \frac{1}{\eta+\mathcal{K}}$$

$$\therefore |a_3 - \gamma a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2\delta}{\eta+\mathcal{K}} & ; |h(\gamma)| < \frac{1}{\eta+\mathcal{K}} \\ 2\delta h(\gamma) & ; |h(\gamma)| > \frac{1}{\eta+\mathcal{K}} \end{cases} . \quad \blacksquare$$

**Corollary 1 .**

If a function  $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$  ;  $(z \in \mathbb{U})$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{H}_2^q(1, \beta)$  and  $\in \mathbb{R}, \lambda = 1, n, \lambda_1, l = 0$  , then

$$|a_3 - \gamma a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2\delta}{\mathcal{K}} , & |h(\gamma)| < \frac{1}{k} \\ 2\delta h(\gamma) & |h(\gamma)| > \frac{1}{k} \end{cases} ,$$

where  $|h(\gamma)| = \frac{(1-\gamma)}{\mathcal{K}}$  ;  $\mathcal{K} = \frac{\lambda(1+2\lambda_1+\ell)^{m-1}}{(1+\ell)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n+1)_2}{(1)_2}$  ,  $\delta = 1 - \beta$ .(Rahmatan et al., 2022)

**Theorem 2:**

If  $f \in \mathcal{H}_\Sigma^q(\lambda, \beta)$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  , then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{4\delta}{\eta + \mathcal{K}} & , |1 - \mu| \in [0, \xi) \text{ ,} \\ \frac{4\delta|1 - \mu|}{(\eta + R)^2} & , |1 - \mu| \in [\xi, \infty) \text{ ,} \end{cases}$$

where :  $R = \frac{\lambda(1+\lambda_1+\ell)^{m-1}}{(1+\ell)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n+1)_1}{(1)_1}$  ,  $\mathcal{K} = \frac{\lambda(1+2\lambda_1+\ell)^{m-1}}{(1+\ell)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n+1)_2}{(1)_2}$  ,

$\xi = \frac{(\eta+R)^2}{\delta(\eta+\mathcal{K})}$  ,  $\eta = 1 - \lambda$  and  $\delta = 1 - \beta$ .

**Proof :**

From (12)

$$2a_3 \left( \eta + \frac{\lambda(1 + 2\lambda_1 + \ell)^{m-1}}{(1 + \ell)^{m-1}(1 + 2\lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n + 1)_2}{(1)_2} \right) - 2a_2^2 \left( \eta + \frac{\lambda(1 + 2\lambda_1 + \ell)^{m-1}}{(1 + \ell)^{m-1}(1 + 2\lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n + 1)_2}{(1)_2} \right) = \delta(p_2 - q_2)$$

$$2a_3 (\eta + \mathcal{K}) - 2a_2^2(\eta + \mathcal{K}) = \delta(p_2 - q_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow a_3 = \frac{\delta(p_2 - q_2)}{2(\eta + \mathcal{K})} + a_2^2 \quad \rightarrow (14)$$

$$\Rightarrow (a_3 - \mu a_2^2) = a_2^2 + \frac{\delta(p_2 - q_2)}{2(\eta + \mathcal{K})} - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{\delta(p_2 - q_2)}{(\eta + \mathcal{K})} + (1 - \mu)a_2^2,$$

$$\because 2a_2^2 = \frac{\delta^2(p_1^2 + q_1^2)}{2(\eta + R)^2}, \text{ from (10) and } p_1 = -q_1 \text{ ,}$$

$$\Rightarrow (a_3 - \mu a_2^2) = (1 - \mu) \frac{\delta^2 p_1^2}{(\eta + R)^2} + \frac{\delta(p_2 - q_2)}{2(\eta + \mathcal{K})} \text{ ,}$$

by using( lemma 2), we obtain

$$(a_3 - \mu a_2^2) = (1 - \mu) \frac{\delta^2 p_1^2}{(\eta + R)^2} + \frac{\delta(4 - p_1^2)}{2(\eta + \mathcal{K})} (x - y).$$

Let  $p_1 = p$  ;  $p \in [0, 2]$ .

By triangle inequality and letting  $X = |x| \leq 1$ ,  $Y = |y| < 1$ , we obtain

$$(a_3 - \mu a_2^2) = (1 - \mu) \frac{\delta^2 p^2}{(\eta+R)^2} + \frac{\delta(4-p^2)}{2(\eta+\mathcal{K})} (x - y)$$

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq (1 - \mu) \frac{\delta^2 p^2}{(\eta+R)^2} + \frac{\delta(4-p^2)}{2(\eta+\mathcal{K})} (X + Y) = F(X, Y),$$

for  $X, Y \in [0,1]$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{F(X, Y) = F(1,1) &= \frac{|1-\mu| \delta^2 p^2}{(\eta+R)^2} + \frac{\delta(4-p^2)2}{2(\eta+\mathcal{K})} \\ &= \frac{\delta^2}{(\eta+R)^2} \left\{ |1 - \mu| + \frac{(4-p^2)(\eta+R)^2}{P\delta^2(\eta+\mathcal{K})} \right\} p^2 \\ &= \frac{\delta^2}{(\eta+R)^2} \left\{ |1 - \mu| - \frac{p^2(\eta+R)^2}{\delta p^2(\eta+\mathcal{K})} + \frac{(4)(\eta+R)^2}{P^2\delta(\eta+\mathcal{K})} \right\} p^2 \\ &= \frac{\delta^2}{(\eta+R)^2} \left\{ |1 - \mu| - \frac{(\eta+R)^2}{\delta(\eta+\mathcal{K})} \right\} p^2 + \frac{4\delta}{(\eta+\mathcal{K})} = H \quad \rightarrow (15) \end{aligned}$$

; H is function in  $\mathbb{U}$  and for  $p \in [0,2]$ ,

$$H'(p) = \frac{2\delta^2}{(\eta+R)^2} \left\{ |1 - \mu| - \frac{(\eta+R)^2}{\delta(\eta+\mathcal{K})} \right\} p,$$

$H'(p)$  has a critical point  $H'(p) = 0$  at  $p = 0$

$$\Rightarrow H'(p) < 0, |1 - \mu| \in [0, \frac{-(\eta+R)^2}{\delta(\eta+\mathcal{K})}],$$

let  $\xi = \frac{(\eta+R)^2}{\delta(\eta+\mathcal{K})}$  then  $H'(p) < 0 ; |1 - \mu| \in [0, \xi)$ ,

H is a strictly decreasing function of  $|1 - \mu|$ .

Now , from (15)

$$\max \{ H: p \in [0,2] \} = H(0) = \frac{4\delta}{\eta+\mathcal{K}} ; |1 - \mu| \in [0, \xi) \quad \rightarrow (16)$$

And since  $H'(p) > 0 ; |1 - \mu| \in [\xi, \infty)$

$$\max \{ H : p \in [0,2] \} = H(2) = \frac{4\delta|1-\mu|}{(\eta+R)^2} \quad \rightarrow (17)$$

; H is an strictly increasing function of  $|1 - \mu|$

$$\therefore |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{4\delta}{\eta + \mathcal{K}} & , |1 - \mu| \in [0, \xi), \\ \frac{4\delta|1 - \mu|}{(\eta + R)^2} & , |1 - \mu| \in [\xi, \infty), \quad \blacksquare \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 2**

If a function  $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$  ; ( $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ) belongs to the class  $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^q(1, \beta)$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  ,  $\lambda = 1$  ,  $n, \lambda_1, l, = 0$ . then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{4\delta}{\mathcal{K}} & , |1 - \mu| \in [0, \xi) \\ \frac{4\delta|1 - \mu|}{(R)^2} & , |1 - \mu| \in [\xi, \infty), \end{cases}$$

Where :  $\xi = \frac{(R)^2}{\delta(k)}$  ,  $\delta = 1 - \beta$  ,  $R = \frac{\lambda(1+\lambda_1+l)^{m-1}}{(1+l)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n+1)_1}{(1)_1}$  and

$$\mathcal{K} = \frac{\lambda(1+2\lambda_1+l)^{m-1}}{(1+l)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n+1)_2}{(1)_2} . \text{ (Rahmatan et al., 2022)}$$

**Theorem 3 :**

If a function of the form  $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$  is in the class  $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^q(\lambda, \alpha)$  , then:

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{2(\alpha\eta + \alpha\lambda\mathcal{K} + (1 - \alpha)(\eta + \lambda R)^2)}} ,$$

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4\alpha^2}{(\eta + \lambda R)^2} + \frac{2\alpha}{(\eta + \lambda\mathcal{K})} ,$$

where  $\eta = 1 - \lambda$  ,  $R = \frac{(1+\lambda_1+l)^{m-1}}{(1+l)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n+1)_1}{(1)_1}$  and  $\mathcal{K} = \frac{(1+2\lambda_1+l)^{m-1}}{(1+l)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n+1)_2}{(1)_2}$ .

**Proof**

It follows from (Definition 3) that

$$\eta \frac{f(z)}{z} + \lambda I^m f(z) = [p(z)]^\alpha , \quad \rightarrow (20)$$

$$\eta \frac{g(w)}{z} + \lambda I^m g(w) = [q(w)]^\alpha, \quad \rightarrow (21)$$

where  $p(z) = 1 + p_1(z) + p_2 z^2 + \dots$ ,

$$q(w) = 1 + q_1(w) + q_2 w^2 + \dots, \text{ for } z, w \in \mathbb{U}, \quad \rightarrow (22)$$

are in  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Now, equating the coefficients in (20) and (21), we obtain where  $k = 2$  in (20)

$$a_2 \left( \eta + \frac{\lambda(1 + \lambda_1 + \ell)^{m-1}}{(1 + \ell)^{m-1}(1 + \lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n + 1)_1}{(1)_1} \right) = \alpha p_1$$

$$\Rightarrow a_2(\eta + \lambda R) = \alpha p_1, \quad \rightarrow (23)$$

from (21) where  $k = 2$

$$\Rightarrow -a_2(\eta + \lambda R) = \alpha q_1$$

$$\because a_2 = p_1(z) \Rightarrow -a_2(\eta + \lambda R) = \alpha q_1, \quad \rightarrow (24)$$

from (21) where  $k = 3$

$$a_3(\eta + \lambda \mathcal{K}) = \alpha p_2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} p_1^2, \quad \rightarrow (25)$$

and from (21) we obtain

$$2(a_2^2 - a_3)(\eta + \lambda \mathcal{K}) = \alpha q_2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} q_1^2, \quad \rightarrow (26)$$

now, from (23) and (24), we deduce that

$$\alpha p_1 = -\alpha q_1 \Rightarrow p_1 = -q_1, \quad \rightarrow (27)$$

$$\text{from (23)} \quad a_2^2(\eta + \lambda R)^2 = \alpha^2 p_1^2 \quad \rightarrow (28)$$

$$\text{and (24)} \quad a_2^2(\eta + \lambda R)^2 = \alpha^2 q_1^2 \quad \rightarrow (29)$$

from (28) and (29)

$$2a_2^2(\eta + \lambda R)^2 = \alpha^2(p_1^2 + q_1^2) \quad \rightarrow (30)$$

and from (26)

$$\begin{aligned}
2 a_2^2(\eta + \lambda \mathcal{K}) - a_3(\eta + \lambda \mathcal{K}) &= \alpha q_2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} q_1 \\
\Rightarrow 2 a_2^2(\eta + \lambda \mathcal{K}) - \alpha p_2 - \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} p_1^2 &= \alpha q_2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} q_1 \\
\Rightarrow 2 a_2^2(\eta + \lambda \mathcal{K}) &= \alpha(p_2 + q_2) - \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} (p_1^2 + q_1^2),
\end{aligned}$$

from (30), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow 2 a_2^2(\eta + \lambda \mathcal{K}) &= \alpha(p_2 + q_2) - \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} \left( \frac{2a_2^2(\eta + \lambda R)^2}{\alpha^2} \right) \\
\Rightarrow 2 a_2^2(\eta + \lambda \mathcal{K}) &= \alpha(p_2 + q_2) + \frac{(\alpha-1)}{\alpha} (\eta + \lambda R)^2 a_2^2 \rightarrow (31) \\
\Rightarrow a_2^2 \left( (\eta + \lambda \mathcal{K}) - \frac{(\alpha-1)}{\alpha} (\eta + \lambda R)^2 \right) &= \alpha(p_2 + q_2) \\
\Rightarrow a_2^2 (2(\alpha\eta + \lambda\alpha\mathcal{K}) + (1-\alpha)(\eta + \lambda R)^2) &= \alpha^2(p_2 + q_2) \\
\Rightarrow a_2^2 &= \frac{\alpha^2(p_2 + q_2)}{2(\alpha\eta + \lambda\alpha\mathcal{K}) + (1-\alpha)(\eta + \lambda R)^2},
\end{aligned}$$

by using (lemma 3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow a_2^2 &\leq \frac{4\alpha^2}{2(\alpha\eta + \lambda\alpha\mathcal{K}) + (1-\alpha)(\eta + \lambda R)^2} \\
\Rightarrow |a_2| &\leq \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{2(\alpha\eta + \lambda\alpha\mathcal{K}) + (1-\alpha)(\eta + \lambda R)^2}}, \rightarrow (32)
\end{aligned}$$

now, from (26)  $2 a_2^2(\eta + \lambda \mathcal{K}) - a_3(\eta + \lambda \mathcal{K}) = \alpha q_2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} q_1^2$ ,

and  $a_3(\eta + \lambda \mathcal{K}) = \alpha p_2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} p_1^2$ ,

we get the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
2a_2^2(\eta + \lambda \mathcal{K}) - 2a_3(\eta + \lambda \mathcal{K}) &= \alpha q_2 - \alpha p_2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} q_1^2 - \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} p_1^2 \\
2a_3(\eta + \lambda \mathcal{K}) - 2a_2^2(\eta + \lambda \mathcal{K}) &= \alpha(p_2 - q_2) + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} (p_1^2 - q_1^2),
\end{aligned}$$

from (30) we obtain that

$$2a_3(\eta + \lambda \mathcal{K}) - \frac{\alpha^2(p_1^2 + q_1^2)}{(\eta + \lambda R)^2}(\eta + \lambda \mathcal{K}) = \alpha(p_2 - q_2) + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{2}(p_1^2 - q_1^2)$$

$$2a_3(\eta + \lambda \mathcal{K}) = \frac{\alpha^2(p_1^2 + q_1^2)(\eta + \lambda \mathcal{K})}{(\eta + \lambda R)^2} + \alpha(p_2 - q_2) + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{2}(p_1^2 - q_1^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow a_3 = \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)(p_1^2 - q_1^2)}{2.2(\eta + \lambda \mathcal{K})} + \frac{\alpha(p_2 - q_2)}{2(\eta + \lambda \mathcal{K})} + \frac{\alpha^2(p_1^2 + q_1^2)}{(\eta + \lambda R)^2},$$

∴  $p_1 = -q_1$  from (27)

$$\Rightarrow a_3 = \frac{\alpha(p_2 - q_2)}{2(\eta + \lambda \mathcal{K})} + \frac{\alpha^2(p_1^2 + q_1^2)}{(\eta + \lambda R)^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow |a_3| = \left| \frac{\alpha(p_2 - q_2)}{2(\eta + \lambda \mathcal{K})} + \frac{\alpha^2(p_1^2 + q_1^2)}{(\eta + \lambda R)^2} \right|$$

$$\Rightarrow |a_3| \leq \left| \frac{\alpha(p_2 - q_2)}{2(\eta + \lambda \mathcal{K})} + \frac{\alpha^2(p_1^2 + q_1^2)}{(\eta + \lambda R)^2} \right| ,$$

now ,by using (Lemma 1)

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4\alpha}{2(\eta + \lambda \mathcal{K})} + \frac{4\alpha^2}{(\eta + \lambda R)^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow |a_3| \leq \frac{2\alpha}{(\eta + \lambda \mathcal{K})} + \frac{4\alpha^2}{(\eta + \lambda R)^2} \rightarrow (33) \quad \blacksquare$$

**Corollary 3**

If a function  $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$  belongs to the class  $f \in \mathcal{H}_\Sigma^q(1, \alpha)$  and,  $\lambda = 1$ .  $n, \lambda_1, l, = 0$ ,

then  $|a_2| \leq \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{2(\alpha k + (1-\alpha)(R)^2)}}$ ,

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4\alpha^2}{(R)^2} + \frac{2\alpha}{(k)}$$

where  $\eta = 1 - \lambda$  ,  $R = \frac{(1+\lambda_1+l)^{m-1}}{(1+l)^{m-1}(1+\lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n+1)_1}{(1)_1}$  and  $k = \frac{(1+2\lambda_1+l)^{m-1}}{(1+l)^{m-1}(1+2\lambda_2)^m} \cdot \frac{(n+1)_2}{(1)_2}$  . (Rahmatan et al., 2022)

These references (Alabbar et al., 2023) (Amer, 2016) (Agrawal & Sahoo, 2017) contain additional research and studies on analytic functions connected to the derivative operator and integral operator. It is advisable to consult these sources for further information and insights.

### **Conclusion.**

In this paper, we used new results related to the class  $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma^q(\lambda, \alpha)$  of analytic normalized function in  $\mathbb{U}$  and obtained the bounds of the functional of coefficients and initial coefficient estimates of the initial Taylor and Maclaurin coefficients by using a generalized derivative operator. In a future paper, we may obtain the bounds determinants for another class of function.

### **References**

- Agrawal, S., & Sahoo, S. K. (2017). A generalization of starlike functions of order alpha. *Hokkaido Mathematical Journal*, 46(1), 15-27 .
- Al-Abbadi, M. m. H., & Darus, M. (2009). Differential subordination for new generalised derivative operator. *Acta Universitatis Apulensis. Mathematics-Informatics*, 20, 265-280 .
- Al-Oboudi, F. M. (2004). On univalent functions defined by a generalized Sălăgean operator. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 2004, 1429-1436 .
- Alabbar, N., Darus, M & Amer, A. (2023). Coefficient Inequality and Coefficient Bounds for a New Subclass of Bazilevic Functions. *Journal of Humanitarian and Applied Sciences*, 8(16), 496-506 .
- Amer, A. A. (2016). Second Hankel Determinant for New Subclass Defined by a Linear Operator. *Computational Analysis: AMAT, Ankara, May 2015 Selected Contributions* ,
- Amer, A. A., & Darus, M. (2011). On some properties for new generalized derivative operator. *Jordan Journal of Mathematics and Statistics (JJMS)*, 4(2), 91-101 .
- Catas, A & Borsa, E. (2009). On a certain differential sandwich theorem associated with a new generalized derivative operator. *General Mathematics*, 17(4), 83-95 .
- Goodman, A. W. (1983). Univalent functions. *Mariner Comp* .
- Miller, S. S., & Mocanu, P. T. (2000). *Differential subordinations: theory and applications*. CRC Press .
- Rahmatan, H., Shokri, A., Ahmad, H., & Botmart, T. (2022). Subordination method for the estimation of certain subclass of analytic functions defined by the-derivative operator. *Journal of Function Spaces*, 2022 .
- Ruscheweyh, S. (1975). New criteria for univalent functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 49(1), 109-115 .
- Salagean, G. S. (2006). Subclasses of univalent functions. *Complex Analysis—Fifth Romanian-Finnish Seminar :Part 1 Proceedings of the Seminar held in Bucharest, June 28–July 3, 1981* ,



Shaqsi, K., & Darus, M. (2008). An operator defined by convolution involving the polylogarithms functions. *Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, 4(1), 46 .

Srivastava, H. M., Mishra, A. K., & Gochhayat, P. (2010). Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions. *Applied mathematics letters*, 23(10), 1188-1192 .